UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern Dis	strict of Virginia
Fitistics, LLC v. Erik B. Cherdak)) Case No.:1:16-cv-112 & 1:17-cv-500 (consolidated))
BILL	OF COSTS
Sudgment having been entered in the above entitled action on	08/23/2018 against Erik B. Cherdak ,
he Clerk is requested to tax the following as costs:	Date
Fees of the Clerk	\$\$
Fees for service of summons and subpoena	
Fees for printed or electronically recorded transcripts necessarily	y obtained for use in the case
Fees and disbursements for printing	
Fees for witnesses (itemize on page two)	1,430.54
Fees for exemplification and the costs of making copies of any necessarily obtained for use in the case	
Oocket fees under 28 U.S.C. 1923	25.00
Costs as shown on Mandate of Court of Appeals	·····
Compensation of court-appointed experts	·····
Compensation of interpreters and costs of special interpretation	services under 28 U.S.C. 1828
Other costs (please itemize)	
	TOTAL \$17,878.83
SPECIAL NOTE: Attach to your bill an itemization and docume	entation for requested costs in all categories.
Dec	laration
services for which fees have been charged were actually and near the following manner:	sts are correct and were necessarily incurred in this action and that the ressarily performed. A copy of this bill has been served on all parties ass mail, postage prepaid
Name of Attorney: John D.V. Ferman	
For: Fitistics, LLC and Sean Mck Name of Claiming Party	Cirdy Date: 09/04/2018
Taxati	on of Costs
Costs are taxed in the amount of	and included in the judgment.
Clerk of Court	Deputy Clerk Date

United States District Court

Witness Fees (computation, cf. 28 U.S.C. 1821 for statutory fees)									
	ATTENDANCE		SUBSISTENCE		MILEAGE		Total Cost		
NAME , CITY AND STATE OF RESIDENCE	Days	Total Cost	Days	Total Cost	Miles	Total Cost	Each Witness		
Daniel Ward, Esq., Washington, D.C.	1	69.19					\$69.19		
Stephen Crenshaw, Esq., Washington, D.C.	1	69.19					\$69.19		
Sean McKirdy, Connecticut (2016, per diem at \$179 and \$0.54 per mile)	1	0.00	1	179.00	200	108.00	\$287.00		
Sean McKirdy, Connecticut (2018, hotel and sustenance, and \$0.545 per mile)	3	0.00	3	725.05	200	108.50	\$833.55		
Steven McHugh, Connecticut (hotel accommodations)	1	0.00	1	171.61	200	0.00	\$171.61		
Robert Nutini, Connecticut (2016 and 2018, per diem & mileage, covered under Sean McKirdy entry)	3	0.00	3	0.00	200	0.00	\$0.00		
					TOTAL		\$1,430.54		

NOTICE

Section 1924, Title 28, U.S. Code (effective September 1, 1948) provides:

"Sec. 1924. Verification of bill of costs."

"Before any bill of costs is taxed, the party claiming any item of cost or disbursement shall attach thereto an affidavit, made by himself or by his duly authorized attorney or agent having knowledge of the facts, that such item is correct and has been necessarily incurred in the case and that the services for which fees have been charged were actually and necessarily performed."

See also Section 1920 of Title 28, which reads in part as follows:

"A bill of costs shall be filed in the case and, upon allowance, included in the judgment or decree."

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure contain the following provisions: RULE 54(d)(1)

Costs Other than Attorneys' Fees.

Unless a federal statute, these rules, or a court order provides otherwise, costs — other than attorney's fees — should be allowed to the prevailing party. But costs against the United States, its officers, and its agencies may be imposed only to the extent allowed by law. The clerk may tax costs on 14 day's notice. On motion served within the next 7 days, the court may review the clerk's action.

RULE 6

(d) Additional Time After Certain Kinds of Service.

When a party may or must act within a specified time after service and service is made under Rule5(b)(2)(C), (D), (E), or (F), 3 days are added after the period would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a).

RULE 58(e)

Cost or Fee Awards:

Ordinarily, the entry of judgment may not be delayed, nor the time for appeal extended, in order to tax costs or award fees. But if a timely motion for attorney's fees is made under Rule 54(d)(2), the court may act before a notice of appeal has been filed and become effective to order that the motion have the same effect under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(4) as a timely motion under Rule 59.